From: Mescher, Jean

To: <u>Stephen Tzhone/R6/USEPA/US@EPA</u>

Cc: Don Smith; John Edgcomb; Carlos Sanchez/R6/USEPA/US@EPA; Gloria-Small Moran/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: RE: Arkwood issues
Date: 06/29/2012 11:12 AM

Stephen,

Thank you.

Jean

From: Stephen Tzhone [mailto:Tzhone.Stephen@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, June 29, 2012 11:10 AM

To: Mescher, Jean

Cc: Don Smith; John Edgcomb; Carlos Sanchez; Gloria-Small Moran

Subject: Re: Arkwood issues

Hi Jean,

Agreed on communication summary and on the dioxin path forward; EPA will consider additional information to be submitted by McKesson, including a technical call and/or meeting on dioxin prior to risk assessors final evaluation.

Thanks,

Stephen L. Tzhone Superfund Remedial Project Manager USEPA Region 6 (6SF-RA) 214.665.8409 tzhone.stephen@epa.gov

From: "Mescher, Jean" <Jean.Mescher@McKesson.com>

To: Stephen Tzhone/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: John Edgcomb <jedgcomb@edgcomb-law.com>, Don Smith <das.smcrh@mac.com>

Date: 06/29/2012 10:46 AM Subject: Arkwood issues

Stephen,

I received your voicemail from last week regarding the following issues:

Groundwater remediation summary: ADEQ and EPA specialist are reviewing and you expect to have a response/comments by the end of June or so.

Dioxin – new EPA standard: the site information is being evaluated by the EPA risk assessors.

Letter from John Edgcomb to EPA: Gloria will be responding to McKesson's correspondence.

Dates to convene a meeting: video conference is an option.

Per my message left for you previously, I recall that the soil verification sampling we conducted for the Arkwood was innovative at the time and I think it may provide additional information about the dioxin sampling. I retrieved two boxes from storage that contained Arkwood documents and reviewed them last week. Unfortunately, these two boxes did not contain the sampling plan information but did reference the pertinent report: Interim Remedial Action Design (IRAD). I will be pulling that box from storage for review and will forward any pertinent documents to you. In addition, I also requested that if the risk assessors consider that additional work is recommended in response to the new EPA dioxin standard that we have the opportunity to meet with the risk assessors prior to finalization of their evaluation.

Regarding meeting to discuss the site, I suggest that two calls/meetings may be appropriate: One to discuss the issues raised in John Edgcomb's letters and a separate one to discuss technical issues. Both of these meetings could be conducted via a conference call. I will check with my team about a potential date for a conference call to discuss the issues raised in John's letters. For the technical call, the groundwater remediation could be discussed at anytime; however, since I won't be able to obtain the IRAD from storage until mid- to late-July, the dioxin issues should be postponed until this document is available. I will get to you with dates for a technical call.

Jean